

Environmental Management - Grand Junction Office



Environmental Air Monitoring Data
Quarterly Report for the Moab and
Crescent Junction, Utah, Sites
First Quarter 2010
(January through March 2010)

June 2010



U.S. Department
of Energy

Office of Environmental Management

**Moab UMTRA Project
Environmental Air Monitoring Data
Quarterly Report for the Moab and
Crescent Junction, Utah, Sites
First Quarter 2010
(January through March 2010)**

June 2010

**Moab UMTRA Project
Environmental Air Monitoring Data
Quarterly Report for the Moab and
Crescent Junction, Utah, Sites
First Quarter 2010**

Revision 0

Review and Approval



Edward B. Baker
RAC Environmental Compliance Manager

June 28, 2010
Date



Lawrence M. Brede
RAC Project Manager

6/28/10
Date

Revision History

Revision No.	Date	Reason/Basis for Revision
0 Ju	ne 2010	Initial issue.

Table of Contents

Section	Page
Acronyms and Abbreviations	iv
1.0 Summary of Results.....	1
1.1. Moab, Utah, Site	1
1.2. Crescent Junction, Utah, Site	5
1.3. Employee Monitoring for Job Site Radiation Exposure	7
2.0 Data Assessment.....	10
2.1 Data Assessment Summary.....	10
2.2 Employee Radiological Monitoring.....	11
3.0 Environmental Air Monitoring Data	11
3.1 Environmental Air Monitoring Data Summary	11
3.2 Employee Radiological Monitoring Data Summary.....	14
4.0 References.....	14

Figures

Figure 1. Off-site Radon, Direct Gamma, and Radioparticulate Monitoring Locations.....	3
Figure 2. On-site Radon, Direct Gamma, and Radioparticulate Monitoring Locations	4
Figure 3. Sampling Location Map for the Crescent Junction Site	8
Figure 4. Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Po-210).....	16
Figure 5. Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Th-230).....	17
Figure 6. Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Ra-226).....	18
Figure 7. Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Uranium)	19
Figure 8. Moab Atmospheric Radon-222 Concentration	20
Figure 9. Moab Direct Gamma Radiation Dose.....	21
Figure 10. Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Po-210).....	22
Figure 11. Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Th-230)	23
Figure 12. Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Ra-226)	24
Figure 13. Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Uranium).....	25
Figure 14. Crescent Junction Atmospheric Radon-222 Concentration.....	26
Figure 15. Crescent Junction Direct Gamma Radiation Dose.....	27

Tables

Table 1. Moab Environmental Air Monitoring Locations with Samples that Exceeded Applicable Regulatory Standards, Limits, or Guidelines During 2010	1
Table 2. Summary of Environmental Radon-222 and Gamma Radiation Monitoring Data for the Moab Site for Calendar Year 2010.....	2
Table 3. Summary of Radioparticulate Air Monitoring Data for the Moab Site for Calendar Year 2010	6
Table 4. Summary of Environmental Radon-222 and Gamma Radiation Monitoring Data for the Crescent Junction Site for Calendar Year 2010	9
Table 5. Summary of Radioparticulate Air Monitoring Data for the Crescent Junction Site for Calendar Year 2010.....	9

Acronyms and Abbreviations

ALARA	as low as reasonably achievable
DCG Derived	Concentration Guideline
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE O	U.S. Department of Energy Order
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
MEI	maximally exposed individual
mrem/qtr	millirems per quarter
mrem/yr	millirems per year
pCi/L	picocuries per liter
RIN report	identification number
TLD therm	oluminescent dosimeter
UMTRA	Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action

1.0 Summary of Results

1.1 Moab, Utah, Site

Sampling Period: January through March 2010

Atmospheric Radon-222

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order (O) 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and Environment," establishes a guideline for atmospheric emissions of radon-222 that is applicable to the Moab Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action (UMTRA) Project. This guideline is 3.0 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) above background. Based on 5 years of data from 2003 through 2008, background concentrations of radon-222 in the Moab area have been established at 0.7 pCi/L; therefore, the guideline for radon-222 emissions at the Moab site is 3.7 pCi/L. Monitoring data collected during the first quarter of 2010 indicate that this guideline was not exceeded at any on-site monitoring locations. The DOE guideline concentration was not exceeded at any off-site locations during the first quarter either. In general, first quarter results were lower than 2009 first quarter data for locations near or on the mill site (no exceedance of guidelines), and remote locations were similar to historical data. Refer to Tables 1 and 2 for a review of radon-222 data for the Moab site. Sample locations for the Moab site are presented in Figures 1 and 2.

Table 1. Moab Environmental Air Monitoring Locations with Samples that Exceeded Applicable Regulatory Standards, Limits, or Guidelines During 2010

Analyte	Standard/Guideline	Sample Locations Exceeding Standards/Guidelines During First Quarter 2010	Sampling Locations Exceeding Standards/Guidelines During 2010 [†]
Radon-222	3.7 pCi/L	None	None
Direct Gamma Radiation	182 mrem/yr (45.5 mrem/qtr)	0109, 0110	0109, 0110

[†]Annual exceedance is estimated based on calculated year of data.
mrem/yr = millirems per year; mrem/qtr = millirems per quarter

Direct Environmental Gamma Radiation

DOE O 5400.5 establishes a dose limit of 100 millirems per year (mrem/yr) above naturally occurring gamma levels (background). Background gamma radiation for the Moab area has been established at 82 mrem/yr; therefore, the gamma dose limit for the Moab site is 182 mrem/yr (45.5 mrem/quarter [qtr]). Based on the monitoring data collected during the first quarter of 2010, two on-site monitoring locations exceeded the gamma dose limit, and no off-site monitoring location exceeded the quarterly gamma radiation dose limit. First quarter analytical results were similar to the fourth quarter and similar to historic data, with the exception of stations 0109 and 0110 (located near the tailings pile excavation), which continue (since pile excavation in the second quarter of 2009) to indicate tailings pile influence within the DOE property. Refer to Tables 1 and 2 for a review of gamma data for the Moab site.

Radon-222 and Direct Gamma Conclusion

Although the exposure rates (direct gamma) were exceeded at two locations on the DOE site property, this does not reflect elevated doses to the public. These data represent the exposure that a member of the public could receive if he or she resided at the point where the data were collected for an entire year. This is not a realistic representation of actual or expected public exposure conditions, because no member of the public permanently resides at or near these locations. Monitoring data observed at the maximally exposed individual (MEI) location, just east of the Moab site, represent the greatest potential exposure to a member of the public. Radon and gamma dose limits established by DOE were not exceeded at the MEI location.

Table 2. Summary of Environmental Radon-222 and Gamma Radiation Monitoring Data for the Moab Site through First Quarter, Calendar Year 2010

Station Number	1st Quarter 2010		2nd Quarter 2010		3rd Quarter 2010		4th Quarter 2010		2010 Annual Average	
	Radon pCi/L	Gamma mrem/91 d ³	Radon pCi/L	Gamma mrem/yr						
On-site Locations										
0101	1.4	32.2							1.4	128.8
0102	1.0	21.7							1.0	86.8
0103	0.8	21.9							0.8	87.6
0104	1.2	24.6							1.2	98.4
0105	1.9	41.1							1.9	164.4
0106	2.3	41.4							2.3	165.6
0107	1.9	25.3							1.9	101.2
0108	1.4	32.7							1.4	130.8
0109	1.2	89.9							1.2	359.6
0110	1.1	78							1.1	312
0111 ⁴	0.6	32.6							0.6	130.4
0112	1.2	40.9							1.2	163.6
0113	1.4	28.4							1.4	113.6
Off-site Locations										
0117 ¹	0.9	20.5							0.9	82
0118	0.4	20.1							0.4	80.4
0119 ²	0.7	18.7							0.7	74.8
0120	0.8	18							0.8	72
0121	0.9	20.3							0.9	81.2
0122	0.5	19							0.5	76
0123 ¹	0.6	18.7							0.6	74.8
0124	0.8	21.7							0.8	86.8
0125	1.1	25							1.1	100
0126	1.1	21.7							1.1	86.8
0127	0.8	21.1							0.8	84.4
0128	1.5	22							1.5	88
0129	1.2	21.7							1.2	86.8
MEI ²	0.7	18.6							0.7	74.4

NDA= no data available

¹Designated background monitoring locations. Background locations are sufficiently distant from the millsite to be free of any influences from potential site contaminants.

²The MEI is the continually occupied residential property that is closest to the DOE property boundary.

³mrem value is prorated to a 91-day exposure period.




Figure 1. Off-site Radon, Direct Gamma, and Radioparticulate Monitoring Locations




Figure 2. On-site Radon, Direct Gamma, and Radioparticulate Monitoring Locations

Radioparticulates

No standards or radiological exposure limits were exceeded at any of the 10 radioparticulate monitoring locations at the Moab site during the first quarter of 2010. Analytical data for all analytes (radium-226, thorium-230, polonium-210, and total uranium) were below their respective derived concentration guidelines (DCGs), as found in DOE O 5400.5. Concentrations of the radioparticulates have been consistently below DCGs since DOE assumed ownership of the site in 2001. DOE O 5400.5 also requires that the radiological dose resulting from airborne emissions is less than 10 mrem/yr. All off-site sampling results were significantly lower than the DOE DCG value of 10 mrem/yr.

During the first quarter of 2010, the on-site dose resulting from airborne emissions, excluding background, was 2.19 mrem/yr at location 0102 and 2.39 mrem/yr at location 0105. Nearby off-site locations 0117 and 0129 were 1.97 mrem/yr and 3.40 mrem/yr, respectively. Although results are well below the guideline, stations closer to the operations (0105 and 0129) indicate higher results than more remote stations (0102 and 0117). Refer to Table 3 for a review of radioparticulate air monitoring data for the Moab site.

1.2 Crescent Junction, Utah, Site

Sampling Period: January through March 2010

Atmospheric Radon-222

DOE O 5400.5 establishes a guideline for atmospheric emissions of radon-222 that will be applicable to the Crescent Junction site (3.0 pCi/L above background). Shipment of uranium mill tailings to the Crescent Junction disposal cell commenced in the second quarter of 2009. Based on 3 years of data from 2006 through 2009, background concentrations of radon-222 in the Crescent Junction area have been established at 0.9 pCi/L; therefore, the guideline for radon-222 emissions at the Crescent Junction site is 3.9 pCi/L. During the first quarter of 2010, none of the on-site or off-site stations exceeded the guideline. Sample locations for the Crescent Junction site are presented in Figure 3. Radon-222 and direct gamma radiation for the Crescent Junction site are summarized in Table 4, and radioparticulate data for the Crescent Junction site are summarized in Table 5.

Direct Environmental Gamma Radiation

DOE O 5400.5 establishes a dose limit of 100 mrem/yr above naturally occurring gamma levels (background). The gamma dose limit for the Crescent Junction site is 192.5 mrem/yr (48.1 mrem/qtr) based on background data from all stations for 2006 to 2009. During the first quarter of 2010, none of the on-site or off-site stations exceeded the annual or quarterly gamma radiation dose limit. Refer to Table 5 for a review of gamma data for the Crescent Junction site.

Radioparticulates

DOE O 5400.5 establishes DCGs for concentrations of radioparticulates in air. Concentrations of the radioparticulates have been consistently below DCGs since DOE assumed ownership of the site in 2006. During the first quarter of 2010, the on-site dose resulting from airborne emissions, excluding background, was 2.94 mrem/yr at location 0308 and 1.68 mrem/yr at off-site location 0306. Refer to Table 5 for a review of radioparticulate air monitoring data for the Crescent Junction site.

Table 3. Summary of Radioparticulate Air Monitoring Data for the Moab Site for Calendar Year 2010

Station Number	Isotope	1st Quarter 2010 (μCi/mL)	2nd Quarter 2010 (μCi/mL)	3rd Quarter 2010 (μCi/mL)	4th Quarter 2010 (μCi/mL)	Annual Average (μCi/mL)
On-site Locations						
0102-RP	Uranium ¹	1.30E-16				1.30E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	7.00E-17				7.00E-17
	Radium-226 ³	8.40E-17				8.40E-17
	Polonium-210 ⁴	2.00E-14				2.00E-14
0105-RP	Uranium ¹	2.70E-16				2.70E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	2.20E-16				2.20E-16
	Radium-226 ³	2.40E-16				2.40E-16
	Polonium-210 ⁴	1.80E-14				1.80E-14
Off-site Locations						
0117-RP	Uranium ¹	1.00E-16				1.00E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	6.20E-17				6.20E-17
	Radium-226 ³	7.40E-17				7.40E-17
	Polonium-210 ⁴	1.80E-14				1.80E-14
0118-RP	Uranium ¹	1.30E-16				1.30E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	1.40E-16				1.40E-16
	Radium-226 ³	5.70E-17				5.70E-17
	Polonium-210 ⁴	2.00E-14				2.00E-14
0119-RP	Uranium ¹	1.40E-16				1.40E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	6.80E-17				6.80E-17
	Radium-226 ³	9.70E-17				9.70E-17
	Polonium-210 ⁴	1.60E-14				1.60E-14
0120-RP	Uranium ¹	1.40E-16				1.40E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	8.40E-17				8.40E-17
	Radium-226 ³	4.40E-17				4.40E-17
	Polonium-210 ⁴	2.10E-14				2.10E-14
0121-RP	Uranium ¹	1.40E-16				1.40E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	1.20E-16				1.20E-16
	Radium-226 ³	2.40E-16				2.40E-16
	Polonium-210 ⁴	2.00E-14				2.00E-14
0122-RP	Uranium ¹	1.50E-16				1.50E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	1.60E-16				1.60E-16
	Radium-226 ³	1.10E-16				1.10E-16
	Polonium-210 ⁴	1.80E-14				1.80E-14
0123-RP	Uranium ¹	1.10E-16				1.10E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	7.60E-17				7.60E-17
	Radium-226 ³	8.40E-17				8.40E-17
	Polonium-210 ⁴	2.10E-14				2.10E-14

Table 3. Summary of Radioparticulate Air Monitoring Data for the Moab Site for Calendar Year 2010 (continued)

Station Number	Isotope	1st Quarter 2010 (μCi/mL)	2nd Quarter 2010 (μCi/mL)	3rd Quarter 2010 (μCi/mL)	4th Quarter 2010 (μCi/mL)	Annual Average (μCi/mL)
Off-site Locations (continued)						
0129-RP	Uranium ¹	3.10E-16				3.10E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	5.00E-16				5.00E-16
	Radium-226 ³	3.60E-16				3.60E-16
	Polonium-210 ⁴	2.10E-14				2.10E-14

¹DOE DCG for total uranium = 2.E-12

²DOE DCG for thorium-230 = 4.E-14

³DOE DCG for radium-226 = 1.E-12

⁴DOE DCG for polonium-210 = 1.E-12

μCi/mL = microcuries per milliliter

1.3 Employee Monitoring for Job Site Radiation Exposure

In addition to the environmental air monitoring program around the perimeter of the work sites, an employee monitoring program is also conducted. External radiation monitoring of employees who enter the contamination area is performed using a thermoluminescent dosimeter (TLD). Personal electronic dosimeters are also used to give real-time monitoring of the workers in the radiological contamination area.

The project's "as low as reasonably achievable" (ALARA) goal for individual exposures is 700 mrem/yr. The project ALARA goal is very conservative when compared to the DOE exposure limit of 2,000 mrem/yr and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission limit of 5,000 mrem/yr. Initial radiation results indicate that with proper personal protective equipment and limiting exposure, employees can safely work within the contamination area.

Employees will continue to be carefully monitored to ensure safe working conditions are maintained. On-site radiation readings are higher than off-site locations; therefore, the contamination area workers represent the highest potentially exposed individuals.

The 2009 monitoring results were well below the ALARA goal, with the highest individual radiological worker dose being approximately 167 mrem/yr, versus 20 mrem/yr in 2008 prior to excavation of the mill tailings.

In the first quarter of 2010, the highest individual dose was 42 mrem, which equates to an annualized dose of 168 mrem/yr. The average individual (all employees) dose was 13.28 mrem, or 53.12 mrem/yr. For individuals receiving greater than 1 mrem, the dose was 38.65 mrem or 154.6 mrem/yr.



Figure 3. Sampling Location Map for the Crescent Junction Site

Table 4. Summary of Environmental Radon-222 and Gamma Radiation Monitoring Data for the Crescent Junction Site through First Quarter, Calendar Year 2010

Station Number	1st Quarter 2010		2nd Quarter 2010		3rd Quarter 2010		4th Quarter 2010		2010 Annual Average	
	Radon pCi/L	Gamma mrem ¹	Radon pCi/L	Gamma mrem/yr						
0301	0.8	21.8							0.8	87.1
0302	0.6	20.9							0.6	83.6
0303	0.9	23.0							0.9	92
0304	1.1	24.7							1.1	98.8
0305	1.0	25.3							1.0	101.3
0306	0.8	25.9							0.8	103.6
0307	0.9	24.7							0.9	98.8
0308	0.7	17.3							0.7	69.2
0309	1.0	23.3							1.0	93.2

NDA= No Data Available

¹Processing irregularity

Table 5. Summary of Radioparticulate Air Monitoring Data for the Crescent Junction Site through First Quarter Calendar Year 2010

Station Number	Isotope	1st Quarter 2010 (µCi/mL)	2nd Quarter 2010 (µCi/mL)	3rd Quarter 2010 (µCi/mL)	4th Quarter 2010 (µCi/mL)	Annual Average (µCi/mL)
0306-RP	Uranium ¹	1.00E-16				1.00E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	2.80E-17				2.80E-17
	Radium-226 ³	5.30E-17				5.30E-17
	Polonium-210 ⁴	1.60E-14				1.60E-14
0307-RP	Uranium ¹	1.40E-16				1.40E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	4.90E-17				4.90E-17
	Radium-226 ³	1.20E-16				1.20E-16
	Polonium-210 ⁴	1.50E-14				1.50E-14
0308-RP	Uranium ¹	4.00E-16				4.00E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	4.10E-16				4.10E-16
	Radium-226 ³	4.90E-16				4.90E-16
	Polonium-210 ⁴	1.40E-14				1.40E-14
0309-RP	Uranium ¹	2.10E-16				2.10E-16
	Thorium-230 ²	1.50E-16				1.50E-16
	Radium-226 ³	8.40E-17				8.40E-17
	Polonium-210 ⁴	1.50E-14				1.50E-14

¹DOE DCG for Total Uranium = 2.E-12

²DOE DCG for Thorium-230 = 4.E-14

³DOE DCG for Radium-226 = 1.E-12

⁴DOE DCG for Polonium-210 = 1.E-12

µCi/mL = microCuries per milliliter

2.0 Data Assessment

2.1 Data Assessment Summary

Atmospheric Radon-222 Analyses

Radon detectors were analyzed by Landauer Inc., in accordance with Landauer's *Quality Assurance Manual for Radon Monitoring Services* (March, 2004). First quarter 2010 analytical radon-222 data were received in a report dated April 29, 2010. Unlike radioparticulate analyses, radon-222 data are not reported with qualifiers from the laboratory. When detectors were either missing, damaged, or could not be read, the laboratory noted an explanation for the stations. After the data report was received, the data were evaluated for consistency with other data points and sample duplicates.

Direct Environmental Gamma Radiation Analyses

TLDs are used for continuous dose measurements and are analyzed by Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory in accordance with their analytical procedure *Preparation and Readout of Teledyne Isotopes TLD Card, TIML-TLD-01* (Teledyne Isotopes, 2001). First quarter 2010 environmental gamma radiation data were received in a report dated April 28, 2010. After the laboratory results were received, the data were evaluated for consistency and compared to historic data and duplicates.

Radioparticulate Analyses

ALS Laboratory Group in Fort Collins, Colorado, analyzed the radioparticulate samples for radium-226, thorium-230, polonium-210, and total uranium. Results for the first quarter 2010 sampling period are in Report Identification Number (RIN) 1004040. Polonium-210 and isotopic thorium (includes thorium-230) were analyzed by alpha spectroscopy, method PA-SOP714R10. Radium-226 was analyzed by radon emanation methods, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method 903.1(m). Total uranium was analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry, EPA method SW-846 6020A, procedure PA SOP827, Revision 5. Radioparticulate analytical data for samples collected during the first quarter of 2010 were received April 30, 2010, and were reviewed, validated, and summarized in the *Data Review and Validation Report for RIN 1004040* dated May 24, 2010.

Field Activities

Duplicate samples for radon-222 monitoring were collected at three locations: (1) 0108, an on-site location at the southern property line of the Moab site; (2) 0111, an on-site location at the western property line near the rail load-out location; and (3) 0121, a background monitoring location approximately 2 miles southeast of the Moab site. Duplicate measurements of direct gamma were made at two Moab locations: (1) 0108, an on-site location with consistently elevated readings; and (2) 0129, an off-site location near the property boundary. Duplicate radon-222 measurements were made at Crescent Junction locations 0303 and 0308. Duplicate of radon-222 for 0306 was used as 0309 location during first quarter 2010. Duplicate direct gamma measurements were made at Crescent Junction locations 0301. Duplicate of direct gamma for 0305 location was moved to 0309 location during first quarter 2010.

Duplicates are not being collected for radioparticulate samples per the *Environmental Air Monitoring Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Moab Project Site and Crescent Junction Disposal Site, Utah* (DOE-EM/GJRAC1434) (SAP). All of the radioparticulate isotopes

collected to date are significantly below their respective DCGs. Consequently, the costs associated with purchasing a duplicate sampler, providing additional electrical power, and incurring additional analytical expenses are not justified.

Suspected Anomalies

All analytical data are reviewed for anomalous or outlying data points. No anomalous data were noted for the first quarter. Direct gamma data were similar to historic data. The only significant data change for the first quarter was continued elevated gamma readings for stations 0109 and 0110 (since excavation started), which are close to the tailing pile excavation activity. The quarterly review consists of evaluating monitoring data against historical and minimum/maximum values to determine if the reported data are within reasonable expected ranges. In addition, radioparticulate validation was performed by a third party (Pro2Serve) prior to data entry (into the SeePro database).

Summary

Data collected during the first quarter of 2010 met the applicable laboratory control criteria for their respective analyses, and all data were reviewed by qualified personnel. The results were found to be within the acceptable limits associated with each matrix. Data reported in this environmental air monitoring report are considered validated and may be treated as final results. The checklist protocol summary of field observation for the first quarter of 2010 is shown in the Environmental Air Monitoring Field Activities Verification Checklist on the following pages.

2.2 Employee Radiological Monitoring

Currently, the Moab UMTRA Project external dosimetry program utilizes a TLD from Mirion Dosimetry Service. The TLDs are submitted to the analytical laboratory on a quarterly basis. The employee monitoring results presented in this report are only for the external dosimetry program. Bioassay measurements are also performed to ensure employees are not at risk. Approximately 300 employees who work directly with the mill tailings are participants in the external dosimetry program.

3.0 Environmental Air Monitoring Data

3.1 Environmental Air Monitoring Data Summary

Radon-222 and direct gamma radiation for the Moab site are summarized in Table 2 (Section 1), and radioparticulate data for the Moab site are summarized in Table 3 (Section 1). Radon-222 and direct gamma radiation for the Crescent Junction site are summarized in Table 4 (Section 2), and radioparticulate data for the Crescent Junction site are summarized in Table 5 (Section 2).

Time-concentration graphs for each analyte sampled at the Moab site are presented in Figures 4 through 9. Time-concentration graphs for each analyte sampled at the Crescent Junction site are presented in Figures 10 through 15. After mill tailings disposal began, location 0306 became the MEI location for Crescent Junction. Location 0307, approximately 5 miles east of the disposal site, will probably represent the second greatest risk (second to the MEI location), and locations 0301 through 0305 will provide property line data for the disposal site.

Environmental Air Monitoring Field Activities Verification Checklist

Project	<u>Moab/Crescent Junction, Utah</u>	Date(s) of Air Sampling	<u>January through March 2010</u>
Date(s) of Verification	<u>April 30, 2010</u>	Name of Verifier	<u>Ed Baker/Melvin Capitan</u>
		Response (Yes, No, NA)	Comments
1.	Is the Sampling and Analysis Plan the primary document directing field procedures?	Yes	
2.	Were the sampling locations specified in the Sampling and Analysis Plan?	Yes	
3.	Were low-volume air samplers operating at or near 60 liters/minute \pm 10%?	Yes	
4.	Did any of the samplers require airflow adjustment?	No	All pumps were recalibrated to 60 liters/minute both at the beginning and the end of the sampling period.
5.	Were detectors (radon cups, TLDs) and monitoring equipment found to be undisturbed and in operable condition upon arrival?	Yes	
6.	Were the hourly clocks on the low-volume air samplers operational upon arrival?	Yes	
7.	Were the run times recorded for each radioparticulate monitoring location?	Yes	
8.	Were duplicates (for radon-222 and gamma radiation) taken at a frequency of one per 20 samples?	Yes	
9.			
10.	Were filter blanks (for radioparticulates) taken at a frequency of one per 20 samples?	No	Not required per the Sampling and Analysis Plan.
11.	Were trip blanks (for radon-222 and gamma radiation) included with each shipment?	No	A trip blank is not used for the radon-222 monitoring; however, a trip blank was used for the direct gamma radiation monitoring.
12.	Was the identity of the quality-control sample locations protected?	Yes	
13.	Were the true locations of the quality-control samples recorded in the Field Log Book?	Yes	
14.	Were all samples collected as specified in the Sampling and Analysis Plan?	Yes	
15.	Were chain of custody records completed, and was sample custody maintained?	Yes	
16.	Are field data sheets signed and dated by sampling personnel?	Yes	
17.	Was all other pertinent information documented on the field data sheets?	Yes	

Environmental Air Monitoring Field Activities Verification Checklist (continued)

Project	<u>Moab/Crescent Junction, Utah</u>	Date(s) of Air Sampling	<u>January through March 2010</u>
Date(s) of Verification	<u>April 30, 2010</u>	Name of Verifier	<u>Ed Baker/Melvin Capitan</u>
		Response (Yes, No, NA)	Comments
18. Were the true locations of the quality-control samples recorded in the Field Log Book?		<u>Yes</u>	
19. Were all samples collected as specified in the Sampling and Analysis Plan?		<u>Yes</u>	
20. Were chain of custody records completed, and was sample custody maintained?		<u>Yes</u>	
21. Are field data sheets signed and dated by sampling personnel?		<u>Yes</u>	
22. Was all other pertinent information documented on the field data sheets?		<u>Yes</u>	

Time-concentration graphs have been plotted only for selected locations for each matrix. The rationale used for selecting each location is summarized below.

Radon-222 and Direct Gamma Radiation

Radon-222 and gamma radiation data have been graphed (Figures 8 and 9, respectively) for the following Moab site locations: (1) location MEI, which is considered to represent the greatest potential exposure scenario to a member of the public; (2) location 0108, which is on the southern property boundary of the Moab site and occasionally has high radon levels; (3) location 0106, which is along the southeastern property boundary and has recorded high direct gamma radiation; (4) location 0117, which is approximately 5 miles northwest of the Moab site property and is a background monitoring location; and (5) location 0120, which is near the Portal RV Park, approximately 1 mile southeast of the Moab site, and represents a second potential exposure to the public.

Radon-222 data for Crescent Junction have been graphed (Figure 14 and 15) for stations 0302, 0306 and 0307. Station 0302 represents the closest on-site location, 0306 represents the MEI and 0307 is the second closest member of the public.

Radioparticulates

Radioparticulate monitoring data have been graphed (Figure 4 through 7) for the following Moab site locations: (1) location 0102, which is one of two on-site radioparticulate monitoring locations, is the radioparticulate sampling location closest to the MEI; (2) location 0105, which is closest to the emissions source (i.e., the mill tailings pile) and is at the site boundary adjacent to the Colorado River and the Matheson Wetlands Preserve; (3) location 0117 (near the Bar-M Chuckwagon), which is a background monitoring location approximately 5 miles northwest of the Moab site property and represents ambient or naturally occurring conditions; and (4) location 0120 (near the Portal RV Park), which is approximately 1 mile southeast of the Moab site and represents the second greatest risk (second to the MEI location) for off-site exposure to a member of the public.

Radioparticulate monitoring data for Crescent Junction has been graphed (Figures 10 through 13) for stations 0306 (the MEI) and 0307 (represents the second greatest risk to the public).

3.2 Employee Radiological Monitoring Data Summary

	<u>2009</u>	1st	<u>Quarter 2010</u>
Number of Employees Monitored	290	303	
Highest Individual Project Dose	167 mrem/yr.		42 mrem/qtr (168 mrem/yr)
Average Moab UMTRA Project Dose	13.3 mrem/yr.		4.4 mrem/qtr (17.6 mrem/yr)

4.0 References

Data Review and Validation Report for RIN 1004040, ALS Laboratory Group, Fort Collins, Colorado, May, 2010.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy). *Environmental Air Monitoring Sampling and Analysis Plan for the Moab Project Site and Crescent Junction Disposal Site, Utah* (DOE-EM/GJ1434-2009), November, 2009.

DOE (U.S. Department of Energy) Order 5400.5, "Radiation Protection of the Public and Environment."

Preparation and Readout of Teledyne Isotopes TLD Card, TIML-TLD-01, Revision 7
Environmental, Inc., Midwest Laboratory, Teledyne Isotopes, 2001.

Quality Assurance Manual for Radon Monitoring Services, Revision Number 9, Landauer, Inc., March, 2004.

Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Po-210)




Figure 4. Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Po-210)

Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Th-230)




Figure 5. Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Th-230)

Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Ra-226)




Figure 6. Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Ra-226)

Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Uranium)




Figure 7. Moab Radioparticulate Concentration (Uranium)

Moab Atmospheric Radon-222 Concentration




Figure 8. Moab Atmospheric Radon-222 Concentration

Moab Direct Gamma Radiation Dose




Figure 9. Moab Direct Gamma Radiation Dose

Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Po-210)




Figure 10. Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Po-210)

Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Th-230)




Figure 11. Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Th-230)

Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Ra-226)




Figure 12. Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Ra-226)

Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Uranium)




Figure 13. Crescent Junction Radioparticulate Concentration (Uranium)

Crescent Junction Atmospheric Radon-222 Concentration




Figure 14. Crescent Junction Atmospheric Radon-222 Concentration

Crescent Junction Direct Gamma Radiation Dose




Figure 15. Crescent Junction Direct Gamma Radiation Dose